# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF JEWISH LAWYERS AND JURISTS

U.S. Affiliate of the International Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists

<u>President</u> Robert Garson

Gen.Secretary

Judd Azulay

<u>Executive Vice President</u> Michael Traison March 10, 2023

Treasurer Stanley Fink

Hon. Zachary James Richard E. Gerstein Justice Bldg. 1351 N.W. 12th, St., REG 415 Miami, FL 33125

Regional Vice Presidents:

Mark Speiser
Rhonda Lees

By Email to: zjames@jud11.flcourts.org

Honorary Presidents
\*Nathan Lewin
\*Robert L. Weinberg

Immediate Past President
\*Stephen R. Greenwald

Board of Governors Jessica Adler Ira Azulay Carl Bensinger Paul Berger Debra Feuer Herbert Franks Bruce Gitlin Hon. Richard Goldenhersh Jared Greisman Cathy Horwitz Isaac Jaroslawicz Sheldon H. Klein Matthew Kronisch Marc A. Landis Alvza D. Lewin Michael Melnicke Avery Neumark Jack H. Olender Herbert Rubin Jonathan Sapirstein Akiva Shapiro Philip B. Vinick Jeffrey Wice Leon Wildes

Honorary Board Members
Hon. Avern Cohn
\*Prof. Sherman I. Cohn
Hon. Stuart Eizenstat
Hon. Joseph Lieberman
\*Jerome J. Shestack OBM

Dear Judge James,

The American Association of Jewish Lawyers & Jurists (AAJLJ) is the voluntary bar association of American Jewish lawyers and judges. We are a national nonprofit association that represents the American Jewish legal community on legal issues that affect, and are of importance to, the community, including; freedom of religion, civil rights, human rights, access to justice, social justice, and defense of the rule of law. The Association also advocates upon and defends against matters affecting the global Jewish community such as antisemitism in the workplace, on college campuses or in public.

I have reviewed two transcripts of proceedings before your court dated December 20, 2022, and December 22, 2022. In the first, a defendant's mother recounted that her son's lawyer, Joseph Klock of Rasco, Klock, Perez, Nieto, had stated that her son was unable to receive a fair hearing before yourself because you are Jewish and her son is black. You gave Mr. Klock ample opportunity to deny or explain himself and he chose to remain silent.

Two days later, Your Honor exhibited what can only be described as a masterclass in grace, the dialogue of co-existence, and, above all, judgment. Not only did you rightly point out that Mr. Klock's ostensible outrageous antisemitism is untrue, but it is grounded in the language of ignorance, especially as it ignores the years of common struggle and mutuality of assistance between the black and Jewish communities.

Your Honor gave one of the most dignified speeches in the face of seemingly abhorrent racism but, most importantly, you ensured that justice was both done and seen to be done. When confronted with such a scenario, there are

888 17<sup>th</sup> STREET, NW, Suite 400, WASHINGTON, DC 20006 ● PH: (202) 775-0991 ● FAX: (202) 828-0909 E-MAIL: <u>info@jewishlawyers.org</u> WEBSITE: www.aajlj.org AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF JEWISH LAWYERS AND JURISTS

U.S. Affiliate of the International Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists

many paths that the Court could have taken, and one could envisage a judge having retained

the case to teach apparently antisemitic counsel a lesson. However, your decision to not run

the slightest risk of prejudicing the defendant, because of the continued representation by

his counsel, was without doubt, the correct one.

As the Court is aware, over the past few years there has been a surge in antisemitic

incidents on the street, in the workplace and on campuses. Particularly with the likes of Kanye

West, there is a demonstrably false narrative being perpetrated of historic prejudice against the

black community by Jews. The transcripts of the proceedings should be published to the

judiciary and to law schools nationwide for the dual purpose of dispelling this vile and vicious

falsehood but also to teach us all how to handle lawyers like Joseph Klock.

One trusts the Professionalism Panel of the Eleventh Circuit of Florida has been apprised

of these events, and that the Chief Judge has informed your brother and sister judges of their

power to decline to hear or see Joseph Klock in their courtrooms. Similarly, the Chief Judge may

choose to inform Ramon Rasco, managing partner of Klock Rasco, of these events.

On a personal level, I have been on the other side of antisemitic remarks from the bench

and either had to absorb the blow or deflect with humor for fear that it would prejudice my

client. In my career, which spans multiple jurisdictions, I have never seen antisemitism so

blatantly, brazenly and unapologetically directed at a judge.

It is the trust between lawyers and jurists that allows our system to function. We must

be assured that we are all pulling in the same direction and share common values of fairness,

honesty and justice. When we encounter those that harm the integrity of the Bar, it is

incumbent upon ourselves to excise bad actors and stand up in support of Your Honor.

Your words and actions are a credit to the judiciary, the profession and to the Jewish

community. If we at the AAJLJ can be of any assistance, we are ready to serve.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Garson

President

cc: Chief Judge Nushin G. Sayfie, Joseph Klock

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CRIMINAL COURT DIVISION CASE NO.: F05-34175
JUDGE: ZACHARY JAMES

STATE OF FLORIDA

Plaintiff,

V.

SAHDI CUNNINGHAM,

Defendant.

The above-entitled cause came on for hearing pursuant to Notice before Honorable Zachary James, Judge of the above-styled court, at the Richard E. Gerstein Justice Building, 1351 N.W. 12th Street, Miami, Florida 33125, on Tuesday, December 20, 2022, commencing at 9:48 a.m.

### APPEARANCES:

HONORABLE ZACHARY JAMES CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE Richard E. Gerstein Justice Building 1351 N.W. 12th Street Miami, Florida 33125 (305) 548-5718

# On Behalf of the State:

KATHERINE FERNANDEZ-RUNDLE, STATE ATTORNEY BY: TIFFANY FINGER, ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEY 1350 N.W. 12th Avenue Miami, Florida 33136-2111 (305) 547-0100

### On Behalf of the Defendant:

RASCO, KLOCK, PEREZ, NIETO BY: JOSEPH P. KLOCK, JUNIOR, ESQUIRE 2555 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 600 Coral Gables, Florida 33134-6019 (305) 476-7111 (305) 675-7707 Facsimile

#### ALSO PRESENT:

ROBIN CUNNINGHAM, DEFENDANT'S MOTHER

I N D E X

<u>WITNESSES:</u> <u>DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS</u>

NONE

EXHIBITS

<u>IDENTIFIED</u> <u>RECEIVED</u>

State's

NONE

<u>Defendant's</u>

NONE

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * * * *
3	(9:48 a.m.)
4	JUDGE JAMES: On page seven, Sadhi Cunningham.
5	CORRECTIONS: He's currently in the box.
6	JUDGE JAMES: All right. Present here in the box.
7	MR. KLOCK: Good morning, Judge. Joe Klock here as
8	well.
9	MS. FINGER: Tiffany Finger on behalf of the State.
10	Judge, we have two motions pending before the Court. One
11	is a pro se motion from the Defendant. The other is a
12	motion to withdraw from Defense counsel.
13	JUDGE JAMES: Okay. Madam Clerk, can you just tell me
14	at the last hearing that we were at we addressed the pro
15	se motion. Was it not adopted?
16	MS. FINGER: It wasn't addressed because the
17	Defendant wasn't brought and counsel wanted him to be.
18	I don't think Your Honor asked if it was adopted
19	because he was withdrawing.
20	THE CLERK: It was not adopted.
21	JUDGE JAMES: Does it say one way or another or it
22	just doesn't say at all?
23	THE CLERK: No, it says adopted by the Defense.
24	There was a motion, probation hearing filed. It just
25	says the same thing that the State just stated. We were

1	waiting for the Defendant to be present to address the
2	withdrawal of counsel. And the pro se motion and
3	disqualification or recusal, was filed (indiscernible
4	words) pro se motion denied, not adopted by Defense.
5	MS. FINGER: State then, Judge.
6	JUDGE JAMES: I thought Mr. Clark did indicate that
7	he wasn't adopting it.
8	MS. FINGER: I stand corrected. My apologies.
9	JUDGE JAMES: That it was premature at that time
10	because they may seek to recuse me at some later time,
11	but he wasn't adopting the pro se motion.
12	MS. FINGER: Oh, no, Judge. This one wasn't for
13	recusal. This was a motion that has several grounds. He
14	says he's being unlawfully detained on a legally expired
15	case. He's been denied the right to discovery. He does
16	mention recusal. He says the prosecutor assisted by
17	altering the warrant.
18	JUDGE JAMES: What's the date of the one that you're
19	looking at?
20	MS. FINGER: Yes, Judge. Those are just my notes from
21	it, but I can tell you right now.
22	JUDGE JAMES: The one that has various requests I
23	believe is the one that What was the last date that we

MS. FINGER: It was filed for record December 5th.

24

25

were here?

1	THE CLERK: This is the new one that I have, Judge.
2	The one that was not adopted was filed on 11/15.
3	JUDGE JAMES: Right, okay. So the one that wasn't
4	adopted was filed $11/15$ , but now we have the one for
5	December 5th and that one remains pending.
6	THE CLERK: Correct. Do you have it, Judge?
7	JUDGE JAMES: This one, yes. That says notice
8	attention on the top?
9	THE CLERK: Yes.
10	JUDGE JAMES: Yes. All right. Let me just take a look
11	at that. Okay. So this is the one that has various
12	requests in the relief sought section.
13	MS. FINGER: It says he wants his lawyer to
14	JUDGE JAMES: Including he wants equal protection, he
15	wants his lawyer to stop neglecting to appeal the denial
16	of this motion, he wants the lawyer to recognize failure
17	to exhaust appellate remedies, leaves his right to
18	liberty and due process prejudice, he wants immediate
19	release from unlawful imprisonment and so on. I assume
20	that he's also seeking a recusal at some point in this as
21	well?
22	MS. FINGER: Yes, it's a small part. But also within
23	that motion he says himself that it should be a petition
24	for writ of habeas corpus and it should be filed with the
2.5	Supreme Court. So I have no idea why he's not going that

route, why he's filing it here and not filing that sort
of petition as he says is the actually appropriate
record.

JUDGE JAMES: Okay. And in the meantime, we also have a motion to withdraw as counsel filed by Mr. Klock that was filed December 12th, but we reset it for today when Mr. Cunningham wasn't here so that Mr. Cunningham could be heard on that.

In the meantime, Mr. Klock, I received a call yesterday from Judge Wolfson that you called her addressing some complaints or concerns in this case as well.

MR. KLOCK: Judge, this is long concerned about.

Okay? Because of what he has put in this last thing,
which takes precedence over my motion to withdraw he
basically makes you a witness. Now I assume that are no
grounds, but that's what it says.

So when I look at this thing on the face of it it seems what is adequate for you to recuse yourself. I don't like to get involved in a case where I have to call a circuit judge. I've never called a circuit judge as a witness and I'm not going to start after 49 years of practice.

So my problem is I look at this thing. A lot of the motion has nothing to do with this Court, but what's at

the bottom of page one and what's at the top of page three definitely constitutes allegations that I think would require you to recuse yourself.

2.3

But, you know, I think I have obligations to raise that and then once I raise that I'd like you to move to my motion to withdraw.

This case is impossible, Judge. I not only have Mr. Cunningham who is smarter than everybody in the State, but his mother as well harassing me and my staff.

I have spent hundreds of hours on this case. I think it's very meritorious. The State's position is oh, he committed a probation violation while he was up there.

They simply ignore the fact that no warrant was ever delivered to anybody. It sat in a file that the State sent papers up to Ohio that say that they're certifying as having been in the file and it's an unsigned warrant, Judge, dated in 2014 --

JUDGE JAMES: I am very familiar with these issues. We've litigated it a few times. We've actually had an evidentiary hearing that was scheduled that I spent several hours preparing for that didn't go forward due to failure to appear for that. So I understand the issues.

I'm not quite sure what you're asking to do today. Are you still seeking to withdraw as counsel today?

MR. KLOCK: Absolutely.

1	JUDGE	JAMES:	Okay.	So,	Mr.	Cunningham,	good	morning.
2	MR. C	UNNINGH	AM: Go	od m	orniı	ng.		

JUDGE JAMES: All right. Your attorney is seeking to withdraw as counsel. What's your position on that? Are you agreeing to that or are you objecting to him withdrawing as your counsel?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm objecting. Mr. Klock was paid to find me remedy through habeas corpus or litigated in a higher courts. Because we knew this Court's stance already.

When he was paid, hired on as my attorney, he knew your stance, this Court's stance as far as jurisdiction. I'm not going to go into that.

Our agreement was for him to get this case in the higher courts and litigated there. For him to do that, he had to initially put in his motion raising these issues and his motion had to be of course denied, which we knew you were going to do. Then he was to appeal it and get to the higher courts.

He has said to me and my family numerous of times that's what he was going to do, that's what he was paid to do. That has not happened. I want him to do the job that he was paid to do. We paid this man thousands and thousands of dollars and that's what he was paid to do and he has not done. I want this man to do what he was

1 paid to do.

JUDGE JAMES: All right. Mr. Klock, your response?

3 MR. KLOCk: Okay, Judge. First, Judge, talking to Mr.

Cunningham is like talking to a wall. Okay? You don't get

5 anywhere.

I understand his position, but the way it works in our judicial system there's certain things that have to be in a record before you can take an appeal.

While he thinks that anybody in the judicial system can reach down and deal with what he deems as being an improper prosecution. And I agree, Judge, this case is a big problem. It's not going to go away easily.

The State's attitude is, oh, well, he committed - It's very complicated. And there's documents that were sent up from the State of Florida that are false documents, Judge, and they've got to be dealt with.

But Ms. Finger isn't interested in dealing with that either. So I can't get anywhere with Ms. Finger, I can't get anywhere with Mr. Cunningham. I have retained part of what was paid to me so I can give it back. I just want to get away from this.

Judge, when I was a kid there used to be a cartoon and in the cartoon somebody's trying to push away from someone and their hand gets stuck. So you put the other hand out then that gets stuck. And then they do the same

1	thing with both feet. That's what this case is to me.
2	I've spent hundreds of hours in this case, Judge,
3	and I've had it. Obviously, Mr. Cunningham is smarter
4	than everybody. So, therefore, he needs to find someone
5	who's willing to put up with it. I'm not.
6	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Excuse me, Judge. My name is Robin
7	Cunningham. Permission to address the Court?
8	JUDGE JAMES: Are you his mother?
9	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I am his mother.
10	JUDGE JAMES: Okay. Do you have a video so that we
11	could see you and swear you in if you want to provide
12	testimony?
13	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. I'm trying to figure out how
14	to do it. You said to put my picture in?
15	JUDGE JAMES: If it's possible. If not, we'll still
16	hear from you.
17	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Can you see me now?
18	JUDGE JAMES: Yes, I see you. Good morning.
19	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Good morning. With everything that
20	has been said this morning, I have several e-mails where
21	Mr. Klock on numerous occasions threatened to resign from
22	the case.
23	I also have information where I'm asking him I
24	traveled to Florida in August to see Mr. Klock because he
25	would not go see my son. I have tons of e-mails where I

plead with him to communicate with my son. I pleaded with him to go see him.

He finally went on October 24th, but my son couldn't bring his paperwork, so he didn't show up. He didn't come down. But from August to October he's had no knowledge of what's going on.

I've had surgery on my eyes. I told Mr. Klock, well his paralegal, because that only one that will communicate. She doesn't give legal advice, she just passes information along.

I also have an e-mail that's stating when I asked Mr. Klock why did he tell me that he confirmed this in the e-mail. I asked him why did he tell me when I came to Florida that my son would never get a fair trial in front of you because you've taken it personal.

He also told me that the Jewish judges are against black people. And he told me about you coming from Palm Beach and there was a judge who wanted to be a Federal judge, so they had to send her up there and bring you down there. There are some other things that I won't say in open court. I --

JUDGE JAMES: I missed the last thing about what happened in Palm Beach?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: So he said that the reason that you're on this court circuit is because there was a judge

in Miami who wanted to be a Federal judge or something, so that judge went there, you came here.

He said that you want to be a Federal judge, you would become a Federal judge over his broke --I won't say the word. I asked him in an e-mail why did he tell me all of these things.

I have an e-mail where he responded saying that's before I realized that you were a hazard to your son. He confirmed that all of these things I'm saying. I can provide you e-mails and other information.

So I haven't even shared this information with my son because I didn't want him to be terrified. Mr. Klock makes appointments and doesn't keep them. My son hasn't had any contact with him since August.

I have tons of e-mails where I pleaded with him to go talk to him so that he wouldn't file papers because he didn't know what he was doing as well when I have retained Mr. Klock.

I have the e-mails stating that this case on our end was not about the probation violation, it was about the loss of jurisdiction and if he took this case this is what it would be about. He confirmed that also. I have e-mails that I can provide to the Court.

I just had eye surgery, that's why it's not there. I get heart surgery next month. I have been so stressed

out, begging Mr. Klock. I'm not saying he's a bad person, but begging him. He says one thing.

I have information where he said that he was going to recuse you. Even on the last court date we had, he said he would never recuse a judge, but it's in the transcripts because he said that.

He said that you would be called a potential witness. I don't know what that means, recusing, but he has verbally stated to me that that was the way to go.

So it's very conflicting in what he's saying now.

But I can provide and I will get some help with printing

all of this and send it to the Court. All of my responses

and what I say to validate the responses from him to what

I'm asking questions.

So it has been very confusing on my part and especially my son because I can't tell him this stuff on the telephone, so he doesn't know what's going on.

So that's why he starts to write papers and everything because the lawyer doesn't give him anything. The first set of documents that my son ever received were the ones that was given in the last court date.

Other than that an information that my son has received I've had to fly to Miami, get a court, the thing where you put in a request to see the files and then mail stuff to my son. He doesn't have anything other than what

was just given to him. So it's no wonder that he files different papers.

Then I asked Mr. Klock specifically in the e-mail why did you tell me all of these crazy things. It makes no sense saying that the judge was this and your son's never going to get a fair trial.

All of this stuff in front of this judge. His statement, this is coming from his e-mail saying this is before I knew that you were a hazard to your son's health. So it's very confusing and it's very stressful.

I get heart surgery next month. I've been in and out the hospital because my blood pressure is so high dealing with all of this.

JUDGE JAMES: All right. Well I'm sorry to hear about all your health issues. So I hope that you are able to feel better and to address any of the health issues and have a full recovery.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Sir.

JUDGE JAMES: As far as what we're here for today, one of the issues is that Mr. Klock, who is retained to represent your son, is now seeking to withdraw as counsel.

So let me ask our clerk, was the PD ever appointed in this case?

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

- 1 JUDGE JAMES: It was, okay.
- THE CLERK: Ms. Centofanti represented him.
- JUDGE JAMES: What's that?
- 4 THE CLERK: Ms. Centofanti represented him.
- 5 JUDGE JAMES: Centofanti, nice to see you.
- 6 MS. CENTOFANTI: Hi, Judge. How are you?
- 7 JUDGE JAMES: How's everything going?
- 8 MS. CENTOFANTI: Good, good. Thank you, Judge.
- 9 JUDGE JAMES: Are you here for this case?
- 10 MS. CENTOFANTI: No, I am not, Judge.
- JUDGE JAMES: Just coincidentally you're here?
- MS. CENTOFANTI: Yes, Judge. I'm here for Mr. Arias.
- 13 He was to be put on calendar by his juvi probation
- 14 officer.
- 15 JUDGE JAMES: Got you. Okay, all right. Nice to see
- 16 you. We'll address Mr. Arias shortly I'm sure.
- MS. CENTOFANTI: Thank you, Judge.
- 18 JUDGE JAMES: Okay. Well, Mr. Klock, did you want to
- 19 address anything?
- 20 MR. KLOCK: Judge, mostly it's just nonsense. But I
- 21 will address this. I did tell her and I told him that I
- do not think that he will get a fair trial in front you.
- I will confirm that I did it. The rest of it is just
- 24 nonsense.
- But the fact of the matter is, Judge, that it's

1	clear that
2	JUDGE JAMES: Well, let's stop there. Because that's
3	a pretty bold statement that brings into question
4	professionalism concerns on your behalf. So what record
5	would you like to lay with respect to that assertion,
6	sir?
7	MR. KLOCK: I'm sorry, Judge. Did you ask me to
8	respond to something?
9	JUDGE JAMES: Yes, I did. You just said that you did
10	tell the family that they wouldn't get a fair hearing in
11	front of me and that raises professionalism concerns.
12	MR. KLOCK: Professionalism concerns, Judge?
13	JUDGE JAMES: and various canons of ethics.
14	MR. KLOCK: I'm allowed to indicate to a client that
15	I think the judge isn't going to be fair. That's
16	unprofessional?
17	JUDGE JAMES: There's certainly questions that are
18	raised. So what record would you like to lay on that?
19	Does it have anything to do with your failure to appear
20	for an evidentiary hearing that you set? Again, for the
21	record, an evidentiary
22	MR. KLOCK: In part, yes, Judge.
23	JUDGE JAMES: an evidentiary hearing was scheduled
24	in this case.
25	MR. KLOCK: No, what you did, Judge

1	JUDGE JAMES: State, Ms. Finger, you were ready for
2	that hearing, right?
3	MS. FINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
4	MR. KLOCK: Your Honor, what you did was you
5	dismissed it for lack of prosecution.
6	JUDGE JAMES: And at that hearing Defense counsel
7	failed to appear. We reached out numerous times to try to
8	reach Mr. Klock. We were unable to do so. We reached out
9	numerous times by e-mail, phone call, and we just
LO	couldn't get a hold of Mr. Klock who failed to appear for
L1	an evidentiary hearing on a motion that he filed himself.
12	So I'm not sure if that's the concern that you have
13	about
L 4	MR. KLOCK: Well, Judge, let me address that concern.
L5	Because I was set for trial that week before another
L 6	court in the civil division. I communicated with your
L7	office about that. I moved the hearing for the reason and
L8	when your office was reaching out
L 9	JUDGE JAMES: Well, Mr. Klock, let me just make sure
20	that
21	MR. KLOCK: to me I was in Court.
22	JUDGE JAMES: So you're representing to the Court now
23	that before the evidentiary hearing you reached out to
24	the Court to advise that you were not going to be able to

make the evidentiary hearing?

25

1	MR. KLOCK: No, Judge, that's not what I said. What I
2	said was I had moved the evidentiary hearing to that day
3	because I thought the trial would be over. It wasn't
4	over. When your office was reaching out to my office to
5	get me I wasn't there because I was in court. I was
6	JUDGE JAMES: So you never reached out to either the
7	State or the chambers while we were waiting for the
8	evidentiary hearing to start
9	MR. KLOCK: No, Judge. Once Your Honor
10	JUDGE JAMES: and we were supposed to know that
11	you were somewhere else without you letting us know?
12	Isn't that an issue of professionalism, sir? Shouldn't
13	you let us know if you're not going to be able to make an
14	evidentiary hearing?
15	MR. KLOCK: Judge, you dismissed that motion for lack
16	of prosecution. I've never heard of such a thing. I can't
17	find it in the rules, I can't find it in the case books
18	in a criminal case.
19	Then when you finally issued an order later in the
20	month even though you had already ruled on it, you ruled
21	on a different basis. I do not think he can
22	JUDGE JAMES: Okay, all right. So you're not
23	disputing that you didn't show up to a hearing that you
24	scheduled, an evidentiary hearing that the Court and the

State were ready for? You never sought rehearing or

25

1	reconsideration. I think instead you reached out to Judge
2	Wolfson on that one as well, right?
3	MR. KLOCK: I didn't catch that, Judge.
4	JUDGE JAMES: All right, nevermind. All right. Any
5	response to the assertion that you told Mr. Cunningham
6	and/or his family that Jewish judges don't like black
7	defendants? No response? Okay. All right.
8	Let's go ahead and pass this case and we'll come
9	back to it a little bit later in the calendar.
10	(Whereupon, other cases were heard.)
11	(11:21 a.m.)
12	JUDGE JAMES: Okay. So let's recall Sadhi Cunningham
13	on page seven.
14	MS. SANFORD: I'm sorry, what were the dates for
15	that?
16	JUDGE JAMES: $3/1$ , $3/13$ for the last case.
17	MS SANFORD: Thank you.
18	JUDGE JAMES: Okay. I don't know, is Ms. Cunningham
19	on, Mr. Cunningham's mother, are you still there?
20	MR. KLOCK: She was, Judge.
21	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Sir, Your Honor. I am here,
22	Sir.
23	JUDGE JAMES: Okay, all right. I just wanted to make

24

25

sure that you knew that we were readdressing the case at

this time. Okay? Okay. So, Ms. Finger, anything from you

1			
	аt	thig	time?

- MS. FINGER: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE JAMES: All right. Mr. Klock, anything from you
- 4 at this time?
- 5 MR. KLOCK: No, Sir. I think that we've laid an
- 6 adequate predicate to withdraw because of irreconcilable
- 7 differences.
- 8 JUDGE JAMES: All right.
- 9 MR. KLOCK: That's the motion we're here on.
- 10 JUDGE JAMES: Ms. Cunningham?
- MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Sir.
- JUDGE JAMES: All right. You had the opportunity to
- address the Court. I know you had various documents that
- 14 you said you wanted to share with the Court. That's
- 15 completely up to you if you want to do that.
- MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
- 17 JUDGE JAMES: Just be aware that if you do share
- anything with the Court that I would have to share all of
- that with the attorneys as well. I can't look at anything
- 20 that's submitted --
- MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, yes, Sir.
- JUDGE JAMES: -- by anybody that doesn't get shared
- 23 with the attorneys both for the Defendant, your son, as
- 24 well as the prosecutor. Okay? So just make sure that
- you're aware of that.

1	Anything that you do send to the Court you should
2	copy the prosecutor and the defense attorney on as well
3	if you decide to do that. Okay?
4	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I will. Mr. Klock already has the e-
5	mails because he's the one responding, but, yes, Sir.
6	JUDGE JAMES: Okay, all right. So at this time the
7	Court is going to take all matters under advisement.
8	We'll reset this matter for this Friday morning.
9	MS. FINGER: Judge, I won't be here Friday.
10	JUDGE JAMES: Okay. Let's shoot for Thursday then.
11	MS. FINGER: Thank you.
12	JUDGE JAMES: Okay, you got it. All right. So reset
13	to 12/22.
14	MR. KLOCK: Judge, may I check my calendar?
15	JUDGE JAMES: Go ahead.
16	MR. KLOCK: The only thing I have is a sounding in
17	front of Judge Delancy and that's at 9:30 as well. So if
18	we were at the end of your calendar it's no problem.
19	JUDGE JAMES: I'll see you on Thursday morning then.
20	MR. KLOCK: And, Judge, the other thing I think would
21	be good for you to mention to Ms. Cunningham is that
22	anything that she provides to the State and to you and to
23	me to the extent that it involves legal strategy and that
24	kind of thing she's imperiling her son's case. Not that I
25	think that would make a difference to her.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: I am not going to respond to Mr.

Klock because that type of behavior is just unacceptable.

I don't want to do anything to hurt my son, it's just

that I'm very confused about Mr. Klock. He says things

and then he scares people like saying my son, I already

said what I had to say, can't get a fair trial there and

that, you know, just all of these things.

2.3

Then he'll turn around now he just said in open court that talking to my son is like a brick wall. He said all of these negative things in a courtroom where his case was supposed to be heard.

Mr. Klock just said this this morning that talking to my son is like talking to a brick wall and it's all on the transcript. I'm sure it was taken this morning. Other negative things he says this in open court in regards to someone he's supposed to be defending in a courtroom. And that's very negative.

I would assume and just basic common sense you're saying negative things about him to the judge and to the prosecutor the very person that you're supposed to be defending. I don't have to repeat it verbatim because it's all in the transcripts now.

So I'm just really confused. He says things to me and all kind of things regarding the Court and black people and this and that and the other and then he sits

there and says that he would never think of recusing a
judge, but I have an e-mail where we talked about it and
then he turns around and says, well let's wait, that's
for another task because recusing the judge can be very
sticky.

2.3

So he's contradicting himself. These are e-mails that he's responding to me in. So I don't know how he's going to sit up there and say one thing and then do another.

MR. KLOCK: Okay. Judge, just so we are clear on this point. I have moved to disqualify judges in the past.

What I have indicated is that what I did not want to do was to list a judge on a witness list and haven't done that. Okay?

I was trying to avoid doing that. That is a different issue than recusing a judge. I have recused a judge in the past.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Klock, in our last court date you said to the judge and the judge then said it this morning that you stated that he might be a potential witness. You're contradicting yourself. You just stated that this morning.

It's on record from the last court date that you said that he might be a potential witness. The judge said that also this morning. So you're contradicting yourself

- 1 yet again.
- 2 MR. KLOCK: Judge, do you understand?
- JUDGE JAMES: Do I understand what?
- 4 MR. KLOCK: I mean, Judge, what I said was I did not
- 5 want to list a judge on a witness list. That has nothing
- 6 to do --
- 7 JUDGE JAMES: Well nevertheless the record speaks for
- 8 itself. That's why we record things.
- 9 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Exactly.
- 10 JUDGE JAMES: So anybody who wants to hear what
- 11 happened can just get the audio and the transcript, which
- 12 I'll be doing of today's hearing.
- MR. KLOCK: Well, Judge, this is Okay. That's fine.
- 14 I'm not going to --
- 15 JUDGE JAMES: Okay. So we'll see you all on Thursday.
- MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Sir, and the last Okay.
- 17 JUDGE JAMES: All right. Thank you. Take care.
- 18 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Okay. Thank you, thank you.
- 19 MS. FINGER: Judge, just so you know I do have one
- 20 case in another division that day, but I'll be back.
- JUDGE JAMES: Okay. No problem.
- MR. KLOCK: Is that Ms. Finger?
- JUDGE JAMES: All right. So I think we're all set for
- today. Okay. We're adjourned. Thank you.
- 25 (Whereupon, at 11:28 a.m. the proceedings were

1 concluded.)

27

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Joe Vargas, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript consisting of 26 pages, inclusive, is a complete, true, and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Tuesday, December 20, 2022, at the Richard E. Gerstein Justice Building, 1351 N.W. 12th Street, Miami, Florida 33125, in the matter of State of Florida vs. Sadhi Cunningham, Case Number F05-34175, Judge Zachary James, Requisition Number DPR2304059.

I further certify that this proceeding was reported by Absolute Digital, Inc., and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.

Date: 1/3/23

Joe Vargas,

Transcriptionist

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE.

11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CRIMINAL COURT DIVISION CASE NO.: F05-34175
JUDGE ZACHARY JAMES

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Plaintiff,

V.

SAHDI CUNNINGHAM,
Defendant.

The above-entitled case came on for hearing pursuant to Notice before Honorable Zachary James, Judge of the above-styled court, at the Richard E. Gerstein Justice Building, 1351 N.W. 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Miami, Florida 33125, on Thursday, December 22, 2022.

#### APPEARANCES:

HONORABLE ZACHARY JAMES
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE
Richard E. Gerstein Justice Building
1351 Northwest 12<sup>th</sup> Street
Miami, Florida 33125

# On behalf of the State:

KATHERINE FERNANDEZ-RUNDLE, STATE ATTORNEY BY: TIFFANY FINGER, Assistant State Attorney 1350 Northwest 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue Miami, Florida 33136-2102 (305) 547-0100

# On behalf of the Defendant:

RASCO, KLOCK, PEREZ, NIETO BY: JOSEPH KLOCK, ESQUIRE 2555 Ponce De Leon Boulevard Ste 600 Coral Gables, Florida 33134-6019 (305) 476-7111 <u>INDEX</u>

WITNESS Page

EXHIBITS

#### PROCEEDINGS

2	JUDGE JAMES: Address him at this time. Mr.
3	Cunningham is on page 4 of today's calendar. Ms.
4	Finger is present before the court. Mr. Klock is
5	present before Zoom - before the court, by Zoom. This
6	matter was on calendar just two days ago, on Tuesday,
7	on several separate issues.

Various information came to light on that date and so the court took the matter under advisement until this morning and is prepared to address the matter this morning. I will note, at the outset of this case that I am going to recuse myself.

I am not going to recuse myself based on any of the grounds that are laid out in Mr. Cunningham's pro se motion, but I am going to read into the record prepared remarks that I have that set forth the reasoning that I am going to recuse myself in this case.

As a judge, a member of the bar, and as a human being, I am compelled to address the information that came to light at the last hearing by the defendant's mother. To briefly recap, Ms. Cunningham explained to the court that she was told by Mr. Klock, defense counsel, that her son would not get a fair hearing before me.

1	She went on to detail various statements made to
2	her by Mr. Klock about me. To be clear, I do not know
3	Mr. Klock personally in any way. We have never spoken
4	a word to each other off the record and we never will.

Frankly, the court was not greatly concerned about any of the statements ascribed to Mr. Klock by Ms. Cunningham. As judges, our job is to resolve disputes and make difficult decisions, and it is not uncommon for litigants on the losing side of arguments to be upset and try to cast blame.

In this very case, Mr. Cunningham alleges that I illegally issued a warrant in March of this year and altered it to appear as though it was issued by another judge in 2017.

Of course, none of this is true, but false claims about me filed on a pro se basis by a litigant fighting for his liberty is not necessarily alarming. However, Ms. Cunningham proceeded to explain to the court on Tuesday that Mr. Klock told her that I am Jewish, which is true, and that Jewish judges hate black defendants, which is categorically false and antisemitic.

I gave Mr. Klock an opportunity to respond to Ms. Cunningham's allegations. Mr. Klock immediately conceded that he did tell the family that this

1 defendant could not get a fair hearing before me.

I then asked him if he wished to respond specifically to the allegation that he told the family the Jewish judges hate black defendants, and Mr. Klock remained silent. Mr. Klock's silence spoke volumes.

I have heard nothing from Mr. Klock since the last hearing, with any sort of explanation, let alone any apology. So, let me directly address Mr. Klock's alleged statement that Jewish judges hate black defendants. This is a lie.

To Ms. Cunningham, Mr. Cunningham, to our court staff, to the other attorneys that practice in these halls and to all persons who appear before me and the other judges. Please understand that if Mr. Klock did say this, it is a malicious, antisemitic lie.

Black people and Jewish people have a dynamic history. Both groups have been oppressed and subject to countless instances of violence and discrimination. I myself am the grandson of a holocaust survivor whose first husband and children were murdered because of their religion.

This is not the time and place for a history lesson but there is a great history of allyship and collaboration between black and Jewish people. I actually went to a great event just a few months ago

at the Historic Ward Rooming House, discussing and celebrating the relationship between black and Jewish groups.

We live in the greatest country in the world, but we must grapple with historic and ongoing racism and antisemitism, and we must speak out when we see it.

Antisemitic tropes are proliferating and an attorney's statement to a black defendant's family that Jewish judges hate black defendants is fundamentally false, antisemitic, and extremely dangerous.

In addition, such conduct violates rules regulating the Florida Bar. Including rule 4-8.4D, which prohibits a lawyer from engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, including disparaging court personnel on account of race or religion.

And rule 4-8.2A, which prohibits a lawyer from making any statement the lawyer knows to be false or with reckless disregard as to its truth or falsity concerning the qualifications or integrity of a judge.

It is incumbent upon a judge to remain calm and neutral, but it is also incumbent upon a judge, and a citizen, to treat all people with equal dignity, equal justice, and equal rights, and to speak out against bigotry.

1	What we say and what we do matters. I have no
2	doubt that the people who work in this courtroom, and
3	who practice before me know that every defendant who
4	appears before me will always be treated fairly and
5	with respect, but this court cannot, in good faith,
6	continue to preside over this case when an attorney is
7	accused of making an antisemitic remark about Jewish
8	judges and does not deny it.
9	For these reasons, I am recusing myself and will
10	ask our clerk, at this time, to reassign this case to
11	another division. Can we get a reassignment at this
12	time?
13	CLERK: Yes, Judge, it's going to be division
14	four.
15	JUDGE JAMES: And which judge is that?
16	CLERK: Judge Pooler.
17	JUDGE JAMES: Great. All right, reset for tomorrow
18	then?
19	CLERK: Yes, Judge.
20	JUDGE JAMES: Reset tomorrow, division four.
21	MS. FINGER: I'm going to be out until the $10^{\rm th}$ .
22	JUDGE JAMES: All right, do you want to set it
23	then, when you're back?
24	MS. FINGER: I would appreciate that. I have a ton
25	of cases set on the $10^{th}$ , if we could make it the $11^{th}$ .

- 1 JUDGE JAMES: Does that work?
- 2 CLERK: (Indiscernible).
- JUDGE JAMES: Okay, all right. Reset January 11<sup>th</sup>,
- 4 9:00 a.m., division four. That's all for this case.
- 5 MR. KLOCK: Your Honor, I move to strike your
- 6 order as a violation of rule 2. --...
- JUDGE JAMES: We're all done for today. Okay.
- 8 MR. KLOCK: No, Judge, I'd like to respond.
- 9 JUDGE JAMES: We're all set for today, thank you
- 10 all very much. All right, page...
- 11 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, can I ask one
- 12 question, please?
- JUDGE JAMES: Ms. Cunningham, is that you?
- 14 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, thank you very much for
- what you said. It touched my heart. I literally -
- like, I've never heard that type of compassion from a
- judge and it makes me feel I can't even describe how
- it makes me feel because to hear that and then to all
- 19 this time be thinking that because of my skin color,
- 20 my son's skin color, that we weren't going to be
- 21 treated fairly.
- But thank you very much, but what I want to ask
- you now is, with Mr. Klock, he's not on the case
- anymore and he says that he would give me some of my
- 25 money back, and I would like to know about that, or do

- 1 I ask the other judge?
- JUDGE JAMES: All right, so Ms. Cunningham, thank
- you for your comments and let me just speak directly
- 4 to you on the first point.
- 5 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
- 6 JUDGE JAMES: I don't know you at all. I don't
- 7 really know your son at all either, okay?
- 8 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes sir.
- 9 JUDGE JAMES: I don't know if you've ever had any
- interactions with Jewish people in your life. I just
- don't know that, all right?
- 12 MS. CUNNINGHAM: I've worked for Jewish people all
- my life when I lived in Miami, all my life. And all my
- life, when I lived in Miami, that's who I worked for,
- and they all treated me well. I worked in high-end
- investments, and I worked Berry Wittman(phonetic),
- Jason Gilbert, I've worked with plenty of Jewish
- 18 people, and all treated me well.
- 19 The owner of my company where I work at here in
- 20 Cincinnati is a Jewish person.
- JUDGE JAMES: All right, well I'm glad that you
- have had interactions, and positive interactions, with
- 23 Jewish people. I just want you to know and it was
- 24 really important for me to address you, your son, and
- 25 everybody else who works here, and appears everyday

1 before here, that I'm sorry that anybody, if that was said to you about Jewish judges, if that was said, I 2 3 apologize to you on behalf of the judiciary because that's just simply not true. 4 MS. CUNNINGHAM: I would take a lie detector test 5 6 anywhere in the United States, anywhere in the world, on everything that I said. God is my witness. I'm a 7 Christian, I'm a God (indiscernible) woman, I don't 8 have a criminal record, and I would go under oath. 9 I would take any lie detector test. That was said 10 11 to me. JUDGE JAMES: Ms. Cunningham, I have no reason to 12 13 disbelieve you for anything that you said, and those 14 statements were not denied either. So, I appreciate... MR. KLOCK: Judge, that is not true. 15 16 JUDGE JAMES: I appreciate your comments... 17 MS. KLOCK: That is a misstatement... JUDGE JAMES: I appreciate your comments, Ms. 18 19 Cunningham, and as far as the issue with respect to 20 the relationship between you and Mr. Klock, that's

the relationship between you and Mr. Klock, that's something that you're going to have to address with the other judge.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.

24

25

JUDGE JAMES: As far as whether he's going to stay on the case and as far as whether he's going to be

12

- 1 returning any monies, see if you can reach any sort
- of agreement amongst yourselves, or you'll just have
- 3 to deal with that separately, all right?
- 4 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes sir.
- 5 JUDGE JAMES: But I am done with this case at this
- 6 time, okay?
- 7 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, sir.
- 8 JUDGE JAMES: I wish you all the best.
- 9 MR. KLOCK: And Judge...
- MS. CUNNINGHAM: Happy holidays. Thank you.
- JUDGE JAMES: And happy holidays to you.
- MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you very much.
- MR. KLOCK: Judge, you can mute me as much as you
- 14 want to, but your procedure is a completely unfair
- procedure.
- JUDGE JAMES: That's fine, Mr. Klock, you can have
- access to the record with the next judge and you can
- file any appropriate motions that you want, and I'll
- 19 proceed with any remedies I believe are appropriate in
- this case as well, and we're done with this case for
- today. Thank you.
- MR. KLOCK: I will as well, Judge.
- 23 (Whereupon, at 10:14 am, the proceeding was
- 24 adjourned.)

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Joe Vargas, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript consisting of pages 1 through 13, inclusive, is a complete, true, and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on December 22, 2022, via Zoom, in the interest of State of Florida, Petitioner vs. Sahdi Cunningham, Respondent. Case Number F05-34175, Judge Zachary James.

I further certify that this proceeding was reported by Absolute Digital Inc., and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.

DATE: January 1, 2023

Joe vargas, Transcriptionist